arXiv:1408.4294v3 [math-ph] 22 Mar 2015

GAPS IN THE SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN ON $3N$-GASKETS

DANIEL KELLEHER, NIKHAR GUPTA, MAXWELL MARGENOT, JASON MARSH, WILLIAM OAKLEY, AND ALEXANDER TEPLYAEV

Abstract. This article develops analysis on fractal $3N$-gaskets, a class of post-critically finite fractals which include the Sierpinski triangle for $N = 1$, specifically properties of the Laplacian Δ on these gaskets. We first prove the existence of a self-similar geodesic metric on these gaskets, and prove heat kernel estimates for this Laplacian with respect to the geodesic metric. We also compute the elements of the method of spectral decimation, a technique used to determine the spectrum of post-critically finite fractals. Spectral decimation on these gaskets arises from more complicated dynamics than in previous examples, i.e. the functions involved are rational rather than polynomial. Due to the nature of these dynamics, we are able to show that there are gaps in the spectrum.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgments 2
2. Definitions and main results 3
3. Metrics, measure, energy and the heat kernel 8
4. Computation of $R(z)$, $\phi(z)$ and the exceptional set 11
4.1. Computation of $R(z)$ 14
4.2. Computation of $\phi(z)$ 16
4.3. Poles of $R(z)$ and zeros of $\phi(z)$ 17
5. Gaps in the spectrum 17
6. Spectral decimation of $3N$-gaskets 18
6.1. The exceptional set 18
6.2. Multiplicities 21
References 23

1. Introduction

Recently there has been considerable interest in Laplace operators with exotic spectral properties. For instance, large spectral gaps imply uniformly convergent Fourier expansions on fractals, see [69], and oscillations in the spectrum, see [2, 4, 26, 27, 12, 40, 42, 41, 43, 50, and references therein]. However there had not been any infinite families of fractals with

Date: March 24, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 81Q35, 60J35, Secondary: 28A80, 31C25, 31E05, 35K08.

Key words and phrases. Fractals, self-similar, metric geometry, heat kernel, heat kernel estimates, spectrum.

Authors supported in part by the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-1106982.

complicated spectra which can be computed. It is especially interesting to have families of "fractal strings" (the term introduced by M. Lapidus, see [52, 53]) that converge to classical loops as $N \to \infty$ and have meromorphic spectral zeta functions (see [17, 18, 51, 68, 73]). Such families would be of particularly significance in view of the appearance of fractal models in the theory of Quantum Gravity, as in the works of F. Englert, R. Loll, M. Reuter et al., see [21, 6, 54, and references therein] (see [2, 3, 20, 44] for most immediate applications in Physics, such as oscillations of the heat kernel or the Casimir effect). In our work we study the first family of fractals known in the literature that combines all these aspects of the general theory.

Our main geometric objects of study, the $3N$-gaskets, are post critically finite self-similar fractals with the symmetries of a regular $3N$-gon. They were introduced in [75, 76] and their resistance was studied in [14] (in more general framework the existense and uniqueness of energy and Laplacians are discussed in [11, 25, 45, 59, 60, 61, 74] and references therein). In this paper we show how to compute the spectrum of the Laplacian operator for this infinite family of fractals using the technique of spectral decimation. Roughly speaking, one begins by calculating the dynamics map $R(z)$, which maps the spectrum of the Laplacian on the n-th approximating graph to the spectrum of the graph Laplacian on a coarser $(n-1)$-th approximation, i.e. $R : \sigma(\Delta_n) \to \sigma(\Delta_{n-1})$. This allows one to identify the spectrum of the Laplacian on the limit of these graphs as the Julia set of $R(z)$ and an infinite sequence of isolated eigenvalues accumulating to this Julia set. The basics techniques and theory of analysis on post critically finite fractals, such as $3N$-gaskets, can be found [70, 46, 48], including the construction of the Laplacian and energy form. The textbook [70] contains comprehensive explanation of the spectral decimation method in the case of the Sierpinski gasket. To compute the multiplicities of eigenvalues, we use [7, 8], and also prove a new general result on spectral decimation, Theorem 6.6.

Historically, the spectral decimation was first used to calculate the spectrum of the Sierpinski gasket fractal in [23, 67], though it was framed in a different manner than it is here. Older works from physics literature, [5, 9, 13, 63, 64] used similar techniques to calculate the spectrum of Schrödinger type operators on Sierpinski lattices. It was shown that the general technique of spectral decimation works for a certain types of fractal with the right symmetry conditions [55, 72, 56, 22, 19]. In [8, 7], several examples are worked out in detail, including of the 6-gasket which is also covered in the current work. It was also used in to find the spectrum of infinite Sierpinski fractafolds in [71]. However, the class of examples for which the exact algorithm is known is limited, and in known examples the dynamics which give rise to these algorithms are relatively simple in that they involve only iterating polynomials or low degree rational functions. This paper presents the first infinite class of fractals for which the dynamics arises from iterations of unboundedly high degree rational functions.

Concerning further research, [1] discusses how to develop a theory of 1-forms from discrete 1-forms in such a way that is applicable to other p.c.f.-fractals, in particular $3N$-gaskets because they share symmetries with the Sierpinski Gasket. The general theory of 1-forms on fractals and related questions are discussed in [39, 30, 28, 34, 32, 35, 29, 31, 33, 36], and related resolvent estimates and distribution theory in [37, 58, 66, 65, 38].

Acknowledgments. The first and the last authors are very grateful to Robert Strichartz for interesting discussions and many helpful suggestions.

2. Definitions and main results

For a fixed natural number $N > 0$, a $3N$-Gasket is a post-critically finite self-similar fractal K with a set of $3N$ maps $F_i : K \to K$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 3N$. K has fractal boundary $V_0 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, and the maps F_i are determined by the rule $F_i(v_2) = F_j(v_3)$ where $i = j+1 \mod 3N$ and $F_{kN}(v_1) = v_k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

This defines a self-similar structure in the sense of [46, 47] by the theory of ancestors in [46]. The limiting self-similar structure is K is defined as the quotient of the shift space

$$\Sigma = \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

of infinite words on the alphabet of length $3N$ with quotient map $\pi : \Sigma \to K$. Here $v_1 = \pi(\overline{N})$ where $\overline{N} := NNN\cdots$ is the infinite string of N's, and $F_i(v_1) = \pi\left(i\overline{N}\right)$. Thus π is defined by the equivalence relation

$$\pi\left(w(i)(2N)\overline{N}\right) = \pi\left(w(j)(3N)\overline{N}\right)$$

where $w \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^k$ is a finite word and $i \equiv j + 1 \mod 3N$.

Remark. In this paper we consider $3N$-gaskets as abstract p.c.f. fractals in the sense of Kigami, defined as quotient spaces of the infinite product space Σ. In the case where $N = 1, 2, 3$ these fractals can be naturally embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as so called regular polygaskets. These embeddings are the attractors of the iterated function system consisting of the $3N$ maps $G_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $G_i(x) = r(x - p_i) + p_i$, where p_i are the corners of a regular $3N$-gon,

$$r = \frac{\sin(\pi/3N)}{\sin(\pi/3N) + \sin(\pi/3N + 2\pi m/3N)},$$

and $m = \lfloor 3N/4 \rfloor$. These fractals are nested fractals and are shown in Figure 2.1. For $N \geqslant 4$ the attractors of this iterated function system will not be the $3N$-gaskets, but different fractals (for istance, in the cases where N is divisible by 4, the attractor of the above iterated function system is an infinitely ramified fractal, and does not fit into the framework of the current paper). However all the $3N$ gaskets can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as self-similar sets in the sense of Euclidean self-similarity, i.e. using Euclidean contractive similitudes. For instance, Figure 4.2 shows a rough scheme how to construct an $\mathbb{R}^2$-embedding the $3N$-gasket for $N = 5$. In any case, the formal definition given before this remark is valid for all natural N and, for the purpose of this paper, the embedding in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is not important.

We intrinsically approximate K by the finite sets $V_n \subset K$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where

$$V_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{3N} F_i(V_n),$$

and V_0 is the fractal boundary. We think of V_n as the vertex set of a graph, where $x, y \in V_n$ are adjacent if there is $w = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^n$, such that x and $y \in F_w(V_0)$. In this case we write $x \sim y$. Here $F_w := F_{i_1} \circ F_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ F_{i_n}$.

For a set V, let $\ell(V) = \{f : V \to \mathbb{R}\}$. If V is finite $\ell(V) = \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$. We define the Laplacian on a given $3N$-gasket as the scaled limit of graph Laplacian on the sets V_n. Specifically, we define the operator $\Delta_n : \ell(V_n) \to \ell(V_n)$ by

$$\Delta_n f(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{d_x} \sum_{y \sim x} f(y),$$



FIGURE 2.1. The 3-gasket (Sierpinski gasket), 6-gasket (Hexagasket), and 9-gasket.

where d_x is the degree of x in the graph above. For $f \in \ell(K)$, and $x \in V_k$ for some k, define

$$\Delta f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} c^n \Delta_n f|_{V_n}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$c = 3N + 2N^2. \tag{2.2}$$

We define the (continuous) domain of Δ to be the functions $f \in C(K)$ for which the above limit exists, and is uniform in $x \in V^*$ and, moreover, represents a continuous function on V^*. Then, in this case, $\Delta f(x)$ can be continued from V^* to a continuous function on the fractal. It follows from the theory of Kigami ([47]) that the limit is non trivial and has a unique extension to a self-adjoint operator, which we also denote Δ, with discrete spectrum $\sigma(\Delta)$.

Since $\ell(V_n)$ is a finite dimensional vector space for all n, Δ_n can be thought of as a matrix, and the spectrum $\sigma(\Delta_n)$ is the eigenvalues of this matrix. For example, for any N,

$$\Delta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus $\sigma(\Delta_0) = \{0, 3/2\}$.

Take d_n to be the graph distances on the nth level approximating graphs, that is

$$d_n(x,y) = \inf\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists\, \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k \subset V_n, \text{ with } x = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim \cdots \sim x_k = y\right\} \tag{2.3}$$

We prove in Section 3 that when scaled properly, the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to d_* which can be extended to a self-similar geodesic distance on the whole of the $3N$-gasket K and induces the standard topology.

Further, let μ be the symmetric self-similar measure on K, that is μ is the unique measure such that $\mu(F_w(K)) = (3N)^{-k}$ for all $w \in \{1,\dots,3N\}^k$. Alternatively, if ν is the standard Bernoulli probability measure on $\{1,\dots,3N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $\mu(U) = \nu(\pi^{-1}(U))$ for all Borel subsets U of K.

The heat kernel $p(t,x,y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times K \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ of the operator Δ with respect to μ is the integral kernel such that, if $f \in L^2(K)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined

$$u(t,x) = \int p(t,x,y) f(y)\, d\mu(y)$$

then u satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(t,x) = \dfrac{du}{dt}(t,x) \\ u(0,x) = f(x) \end{cases}$$

With respect to the distance d_* and the measure μ, we get the following heat kernel estimate

Theorem 2.1. *The Laplacian Δ on K has a symmetric heat kernel $p(t,x,y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times K \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following estimates*

$$p(t,x,y) \le c_1 t^{-d_H/d_w} \exp\left(c_2 (d_*(x,y)^{d_w}/t)^{1/(d_w-1)}\right) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$p(t,x,y) \ge c_3 t^{-d_H/d_w} \exp\left(c_4 (d_*(x,y)^{d_w}/t)^{1/(d_w-1)}\right).$$

Here $d_H = \log(3N)/\log(N+1)$ is the Hausdorff dimension with respect to d_*, $d_w = \alpha + d_H = (\log(2N+3) + log(N))/\log(N+1)$ where $\alpha = \log\rho/\log(N+1)$, $\rho = c/(3N) = 1 + 2N/3$, and c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4 are positive real constants.

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3. We note here that the symmetry of the heat kernel is a result of the fact that Δ is self-adjoint with respect to the self-similar measure μ. This follows from [47].

Remark 2.2. Note that the spectral dimension

$$d_s = 2d_H/d_w = 2\log(3N)/(\log(2N+3) + log(N))$$

was first computed in [14] in different notation. Our current ρ was denoted by c in [14], and our current N was denoted by $3N$ in [14]. According to the terminology of [14], our $3N$ gasket is a (N,N,N)-gasket, which means $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N$ (but in [14] the notation was $N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$, which would contradict our use of N).

We use the technique called spectral decimation to calculate the spectrum of Δ_{n+1} from the spectrum of Δ_n. Thinking of Δ_n as a matrix, the first step in spectral decimation is computing the rational functions $R(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ such that

$$S(z) = \phi(z)(\Delta_n - R(z)).$$

where the matrix-valued function $S(z) = (A - z) + B(D - z)^{-1}C$ is the Schur complement of

$$\Delta_{n+1} - z = \begin{pmatrix} A - z & B \\ C & D - z \end{pmatrix}$$

with block representation such that A corresponds to the vertices in the nth level approximation.

Theorem 2.3. *For the $3N$-gasket, $N \ge 1$, $R(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ are the rational functions*

$$R(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(z-1)\sqrt{z}U_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{z}\right)(2T_N(1-2z)+2U_{N-1}(1-2z)+1)}{T_N\left(\sqrt{z}\right)} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{z}T_N\left(\sqrt{z}\right)(2T_N(1-2z)+2U_{N-1}(1-2z)+1)}{U_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{z}\right)} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\phi(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(3-2z)T_N\left(\sqrt{z}\right)}{\left(T_N\left(\sqrt{z}\right)-2(z-1)\sqrt{z}U_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{z}\right)\right)(2T_N(1-2z)+2U_{N-1}(1-2z)+1)} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(3-2z)U_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{z}\right)}{\left(U_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{z}\right)-2\sqrt{z}T_N\left(\sqrt{z}\right)\right)(2T_N(1-2z)+2U_{N-1}(1-2z)+1)} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Further, for $N > 1$, $R(z)$ has simple poles at the set of points

$$\left\{\cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right) : m = 1, 3, \ldots, N-1\right\} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is even, and} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\left\{\cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right) : m = 2, 4, \ldots, N-1\right\} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \tag{2.8}$$

For $N > 1$, the set of points above along with the point $3/2$ are the complete set of zeros of $\phi(z)$.

This is proved in the appropriate subsections of Section 4.

Above T_k and U_k are Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second type respectively, that is to say that

$$T_k(z) = \cos(k \arccos(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad U_k(z) = \frac{\sin((k+1)\arccos(z))}{\sin(\arccos(z))}$$

for the appropriate domains, and are extended by the polynomial representation everywhere else.

An interesting feature of this result is that the function $R(z)$ is given by a rational function which has disconnected real Julia set (see [26, 27]) because $R(z)$ has poles in the convex hull of its Julia set. One implication of this is that the spectrum of the renormalized limit of Δ_n, Δ has gaps in the sense of [69], which implies that the Fourier series of continuous functions converge uniformly on K.

Theorem 2.4. *If $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, is the nondecreasing enumeration of the eigenvalues of Δ, then* $\limsup \lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k > 1$.

Knowing the functions $R(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ allow us to calculate the spectrum of Δ_n as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *If Δ_n is the graph Laplacian of the nth approximating graph to a $3N$-Gasket, then Δ_n is a matrix of dimension* $\dfrac{3N + (3N-2)(3N)^n}{3N-1}$ *and the set of eigenvalues is*

$$\{0, 3/2\} \cup \bigcup_{m=0}^{n-1} R^{-m}\left(\mathcal{A} \cup \left\{\sin^2\left(j\pi/(3N)\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{3N-1}\right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{z : 2T_N(1-2z) + 2U_{N-1}(1-2z) + 1 = 0\right\}.$$

The multiplicities of these eigenvalues is as follows

(i) The multiplicity of 0 is 1, and the multiplicity of $3/2$ is $\dfrac{3N + (6N-3)(3N)^n}{3N-1}$.

(ii) For any $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m < n-1$ such that $R^m(z) = \sin^2(k\pi/(3N)) \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$ $k = 1, 2, \ldots 3N-1$, then the multiplicity of z is

$$\frac{3N + (3N-2)(3N)^{n-m-1}}{3N-1} \quad \text{if } 3 \nmid k \text{ or} \quad (3N)^{n-m-1} + 1 \text{ if } 3 \mid k.$$

(iii) For any z with $R^m(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \geq 0$, and $0 \leq m < n-2$, the mulitiplicity of z is $\dfrac{(3N)^{n-m-1}-1}{3N-1}$.

The proof of this theorem is given at the end of Subsection 6.2.

We define $\tilde{R}$ to be the branch of the inverse of $R(z)$ such that $\tilde{R}(0) = 0$, that is $\tilde{R} \circ R(z) = z$ for z in a neighborhood of 0. Using the above theorem and equation 2.1, one has

Theorem 2.6. *If, as before, $\Delta = \lim_{n\to\infty} c^n \Delta_n$ is the Laplcian on K, with $c = 3N + 2N^2$, then the spectrum*

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \left\{ \lim_{n\to\infty} c^{m+n} \tilde{R}^n(z) \mid z \in \sigma(\Delta_m) \right\}.$$

This follows from calculations similar that in [70, Chapter 3], and is a technique which goes back to [23].

We also are able to calculate the normalized limiting distribution of eigenvalues, that is, the limit as $n \to \infty$ of the normalized probability measures κ_n defined to be

$$\kappa_n(\{z\}) = \frac{\text{mult}_n(z)(3N-1)}{3N + (6N-3)(3N)^n},$$

where $\text{mult}_n(z)$ is the multiplicity of z as an eigenvalues of Δ_n. The following results is implied by Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.7. *Normalizing with by the number of eigenvalues including multiplicity, the limiting distribution of eigenvalues (the integrated density of states) is a pure point measure $\kappa = \lim_{n\to\infty} \kappa_n$ with the set of atoms*

$$\left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} R^{-k}\Big(\mathcal{A} \cup \left(\left\{\sin^2\left(j\pi/(3N)\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{3N-1}\right)$$

The value of κ at these atoms is given as follows

(i) $\kappa(\{3/2\}) = \dfrac{3N-2}{6N-3}$.

(ii) For any $m \geq 0$, if $R^m(z) = \sin^2(k\pi/(3N))$, then

$$\kappa(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3N-2}{6N-3}(3N)^{-m-1} & \text{if } 3 \nmid k \\ \dfrac{3N-1}{6N-3}(3N)^{-m-1} & \text{if } 3 \mid k. \end{cases}$$

(iii) For z with $R^m(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ with $m \geq 0$, $\kappa(z) = (3N)^{-m-1}(6N-3)^{-1}$.

In the previous results, we assumed that N is fixed, and considered limits as $n \to \infty$. There are two different kind of limits. One corresponds to the graph Laplacians Δ_n, that converge as $n \to \infty$ to a Laplacian on an infinite graph, with limiting density of states κ. The other limit corresponds to the limits of renormalized Laplacian $c^n\Delta_n$, that converge to the continuous Laplacian Δ on our fractal, $3N$-gasket. Eigenvalues of Δ are given in Theorem 2.6. Our results allow one to explicitly compute the limits of these objects as $N \to \infty$.

Corollary 2.8. *(1) $\lim_{N\to\infty} \kappa$ is a probability measure that is absolutely continuous on the interval $[0,1]$ and has an atom of size $\frac{1}{2}$ at the point $z = \{3/2\}$.*



FIGURE 2.2. The 9-gasket graph approximations.

(2) as $N \to \infty$, each postive eigenvalue λ_k of Δ eventually has multiplicity two and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \lambda_k = \frac{2}{9}\pi^2 k^2.$$

Proof. (1) Consider the circular sawtooth graph, $V_1(N)$, (see Figures 2.2 and 4.1), and its spectral decimation with respect to the circular graph of $3N$ vertices. This means that we consider the process of spectral decimation that geometrically can be described as removing the teeth from the sawtooth graph. The sawtooth spectral decimation function $R_{sawtooth}(z)$ is computed in lemma 4.1 to be

$$R_{sawtooth}(z) = 2z.$$

The density of states of the probabilistic Laplacian on the circular graph of $3N$ vertices converges as $N \to \infty$ to an absolutely continuous measure on $[0, 2]$. By applying $R_{sawtooth}(z)$ to this continuous measure, and using Corollary 2.7, we obtain the result.

(2) The eigenvalues of the circular graph of $3N$ vertices are

$$1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{3N}\right) \approx \frac{2}{9N^2}\pi^2 k^2$$

and so the eigenvalues of the circular sawtooth graph, using the function $R_{sawtooth}(z)$ as in lemma 4.2, are

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{3N}\right)\right) \approx \frac{1}{9N^2}\pi^2 k^2.$$

They have to be multiplied by $c = 3N + 2N^2$, which yield the result, taking into account that the function $R(z)$ is approximately linear in the neighborhood of zero. □

Remark 2.9. Following arguments in Corollary 2.8, one can show that, as $N \to \infty$, the eigenfunctions converge uniformly to the usual sin and cos eigenfunctions on the circle, as our $3N$-fractals K converge, in the Gromov-Hausdorff sense, to the usual circle. See [14, 62] for some related results.

3. Metrics, measure, energy and the heat kernel

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1, using techniques from [49, 10]. At the heart of these techniques, is relating a geodesic metric on the space to the resistance metric. To define the

resistance metric, let

$$\mathscr{E}_n(f) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\sim y}(f(x)-f(y))^2$$

for $f \in \ell(V_n)$ be the graph energy of V_n. It is shown in [14, 47] that

$$\mathscr{E}(f) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho^{-n}\mathscr{E}_n(f|_{V_n}),$$

for

$$\rho = c/(3N) = 1 + 2N/3$$

and functions $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$, is well defined with non-trivial domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \{f : K \to \mathbb{R} \ : \ \mathscr{E}(f) < \infty\}.$$

It is also established that the Laplacian Δ defined in Section 2 is the infinitesimal generator of $\mathscr{E}$ as a Dirichlet form on $L^2(K,\mu)$. For more on Dirichlet forms, see [16, 24].

We define the effective resistance between two points $x, y \in K$ as follows

$$R(x,y) := \inf\left\{\frac{(f(x)-f(y))^2}{\mathscr{E}(f,f)} \ : \ f \in \mathcal{D}\right\}.$$

It is also established [47] that $R(x,y)$ is a metric on K.

The reader should not confuse this resistance metric $R(x,y)$ with the rational function $R(z)$ heavily studied in our paper but not in the current section. Notation for $R(x,y)$ and $R(z)$ is well-established in the literature, and we do not to wish to change the tradition, even though it may be confusing within one paper.

We now define the geodesic metric on our fractal spaces.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a metric d_* on K, inducing the original topology, with the following properties*

(i) $d_*(x,y) = (N+1)^{-n}d_n(x,y)$ *for all* $x, y \in V_n$, *where* $d_n(x,y)$ *is the graph distance in* V_n *defined in* (2.3).

(ii) *For all* $w \in \{1,2,\ldots,3N\}^n$, $d_*(F_w(x), F_w(y)) = (N+1)^{-n}d_*(x,y)$.

(iii) *If* $v \neq w \in \{1,2,\ldots,3N\}^n$ *and* $F_w(K) \cap F_v(K) = \varnothing$, *then*

$$d_*(x,y) \geq (N+1)^{-n} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in F_w(K),\ y \in F_v(K).$$

On the other hand, if $w \in \{1,2,\ldots,3N\}^n$,

$$d_*(x,y) \leq c(N+1)^{-n} \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in F_w(K),$$

for some constant $c \leq 3 + 1/N$.

(iv) K *is a geodesic space with* d_* *as a metric.*

(v) *The Hausdorff dimension of* K *with respect to* d_* *is*

$$\dim_H(d_*) = \frac{\log 3N}{\log(N+1)}.$$

Proof. If $x \sim y$ in V_n, $d_{n+1}(x,y) = d_1(v_0,v_1) = N+1$, because of the self-similarity of the graph. More generally, if $x, y \in V_n$ with $d_n(x,y) = k$, and $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k = y$ is a length minimizing path, then we can extend this to a path in V_{n+1} by paths which connect x_i to x_{i+1} of length $N+1$.

This path can be seen to be the shortest path because $F_w(V_1) \cap F_v(V_1) \subset V_n$ for $v \neq w \in \{1,2,\ldots,3N\}^n$, thus any path in V_{n+1} between x and y would induce a path in V_n by only

considering elements in that path which are also in V_n. Thus $d_{n+1}(x,y) = (N+1)d_n(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in V_n$. Thus the metric d_* on $V_* := \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ by $d_*(x,y) = (N+1)^{-n} d_n(x,y)$ for $x, y \in V_n$ is well defined.

We shall prove (i-iii) for d_* defined on V_*, which will imply that d_* is jointly continuous with respect to the subspace topology of $V_* \subset K$. This in turn implies that d_* extends to a metric on K which induces the original topology, and that (i-iii) are satisfied by the extended metric. The fact that K is compact proves that d_* is complete.

We have taken (i) as a definition, and (ii) can be seen inductively from the argument above. It is left to prove (iii).

Since the F_i are d_*-similitudes with scaling factor $(N+1)^{-1}$, it is enough to show that the above is true with $n = 0$. First, given $y \in K$, for all k, there is $v \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^k$ such that $y \in F_v(K)$. Choose $y_k \in F_v(V_0)$. Now,

$$d_*(y_k, y_{k+1}) \leq \operatorname{diam} V_1/(N+1)^{k+1}$$

where $\operatorname{diam} V_1 = \lfloor 3N/2 \rfloor + 1$ is the graph diameter of V_1. So

$$d_*(v_i, y) \leq \operatorname{diam} V_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(N+1)^k} = \frac{\operatorname{diam} V_1}{N}.$$

Thus by the triangle inequality, we have our bound on $d_*(x,y)$.

(iv) For points on V_*, it is easy to see that d_* has approximate midpoints: i.e., for every $x, y \in V_*$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $z \in K$ such that $|d(x,y)/2 - d(y,z)| \leq \varepsilon$. In this case we can take z from V_k for k large enough. By the standard theory of metric spaces, see [15], this proves that d_* is a geodesic metric.

(v) follows because K is the attractor of the iterated function system generated by the $3N$ F_i, which are each similitudes with respect to d_* with Lipshitz constant $1/(N+1)$. □

Lemma 3.2. *(i) There is c_1 such that if $x, y \in F_w(K)$ for $w \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^n$, then*

$$R(x,y) \leq c_1 \rho^{-n}$$

(ii) There is a c_2 such that for any $x \in V_n$ and $y \in F_w(K)$ with $w \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^n$ and $x \notin F_w(K)$, then

$$R(x,y) \geq c_2 \rho^{-n}.$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from proposition 7.16 (b) in [10] which states there is a constant c_1 such that $|f(x) - f(y)|^2 \leq c_1 \rho^{-n} \mathscr{E}(f)$ for $x, y \in F_w(K)$, and then using s proof similar to that of part (iii) of proposition 3.1.

Part (ii): There are at most two w in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 3N\}^n$, such that $x \in F_w(V_0)$. We construct the function h such that $h \circ F_w \equiv 0$ for w such that $x \notin F_w(K)$, and if $x \in F_w(K)$, then $F_w^{-1}(x)$ is in V_0, we define $h \circ F_w$ to be the harmonic extension of the function g which is 1 at $F_w^{-1}(x)$ and 0 at the other boundary points. Noting that $\mathscr{E}(g) = \rho/2$, then

$$R(x,y) \geq \mathscr{E}(h) = \rho^{-n+1} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho^{-n+1}/2$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *There is a constant c such that*

$$c^{-1}(d_*(x,y))^{\alpha} \leq R(x,y) \leq c(d_*(x,y))^{\alpha}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and $\alpha = \log \rho / \log(N+1)$.



FIGURE 4.1. Sawtooth graph G_2

Proof. Define

$$N_n(x) = \cup\{F_w(K) \mid F_w(K) \cap F_v(K) \neq \emptyset \text{ for } v \text{ such that } x \in F_v(K)\}$$

to be the union of n-cells which contain x or intersect a cell which contains x. If $y \in N_n(x)$, then by proposition 3.1 (iii) $d_*(x,y) \leq 2c_1(N+1)^{-n}$. Similarly, $R(x,y) \leq 2c_2\rho^{-n}$.

On the other hand, if $y \notin N_n(x)$, any path from x to y must cross two elements of V_n (possibly including x). We conclude $d_*(x,y) \geq c_3(N+1)^{-n}$. The function defined in the proof of 3.2 vanishes outside of $N_n(x)$, so $R(x,y) \geq c_3\rho^{-n}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We shall walk through the requirements of Theorem 15.10 of [49]. The property (ACC) is implied by $R(x,y)$ being uniformly perfect for local Dirichlet forms, see [49, Proposition 7.6]. Our Dirichlet form is local, and $R(x,y)$ is uniformly perfect which is implied by proposition 3.3 and the fact that d is geodesic. The fact that $d \sim_{QS} R$ is also implied by proposition 3.3 with $g(r) = r^{d_w}$, where $d_w = \alpha + d_H$ and $d_H = \dim_H(d_*)$. It also follows from proposition 3.1 part (iii) that there are constants c_5 and c_6 such that

$$c_5 d_*(x,y)^{d_H} \leq \mu(B_{d_*}(x, d_*(x,y))) \leq c_6 d_*(x,y)^{d_H},$$

which along with proposition 3.3 proves the $(\mathrm{DM2})_{g,d_*}$. The chain condition is also implied by the fact that d_* is geodesic. Thus Theorem 2.1 follows from [49, Theorem 15.10] using condition (b). □

4. Computation of $R(z)$, $\phi(z)$ and the exceptional set

Consider the sawtooth graph G_2 with vertex set $\{v_0, \ldots, v_m, u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ and edge relation $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$, $v_i \sim u_i$, and $v_i \sim u_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Let $\mathcal{L}_2$ be the graph Laplacian of G_2. The sawtooth graph is depicted in Figure 4.1.

We consider the eigenvalue problem with boundary v_0 and v_m, that is to say that to find $f \in \ell(G_2)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_2 f(x) = z f(x) \text{ for all } x \neq v_0, v_m,$$

given prescribed values for $f(v_0)$ and $f(v_m)$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $0 < z < 1$, $z \neq (1 - \cos(k\pi/m))/2$, the eigenvalue problem with boundary on G_2 is solved by linear combinations of*

$$f_1(v_k) = -\frac{\sin((k - \frac{m}{2})\arccos(1-2z))}{\sin(\frac{m}{2}\arccos(1-2z))} \tag{4.1}$$

$$f_2(v_k) = \frac{\cos((k - \frac{m}{2})\arccos(1-2z))}{\cos(\frac{m}{2}\arccos(1-2z))}, \tag{4.2}$$

where we extend f to u_k by the eigenvalue equation

$$f_i(u_k) = \frac{f_i(v_k) + f_i(v_{k+1})}{1-z}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For $z = 3/2$, the z-eigenspace is span by the functions

$$g_j(u_k) = (-\delta_{jk})^j \quad \textit{and} \quad g_j(v_k) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & k < j \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. Along with $g_0(u_k) = 0$ and $g_0(u_k) = (-1)^k$.

Proof. First consider the subgraph G_1 consisting of the vertexes $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m$, and the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_1$ on this subgraph. It is known that functions of the form $g(v_k) = e^{ik\theta}$, for θ to be determined, have eigenvalues $1 - \cos(\theta)$ except, potentially, at the endpoints v_0 and v_m. Suppose f is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_2$ with eigenvalue z_2, except at v_0 and v_m. Then, for $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 f(u_k) = z_2 f(u_k) = f(u_k) - \tfrac{1}{2}(f(v_{k-1}) + f(v_k))$$
$$\mathcal{L}_2 f(u_{k+1}) = z_2 f(u_{k+1}) = f(u_{k+1}) - \tfrac{1}{2}(f(v_k) + f(v_{k+1}))$$

so that through rearrangement we get

$$(1 - z_2)(f(u_k) + f(u_{k+1})) = \frac{1}{2}(f(v_{k-1}) + 2f(v_k) + f(v_{k+1})). \tag{4.3}$$

Now, for v_k, $k \notin \{0, m\}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 f(v_k) = z_2 f(v_k) = f(v_k) - \frac{1}{4}\left((f(v_{k-1}) + f(v_{k+1})) + (f(u_k) + f(u_{k+1}))\right) \tag{4.4}$$

so by dividing by $1 - z_2$ in (4.3), substituting the result into (4.4), and collecting our $f(v_k)$'s we get

$$(z_2 - 1 + \frac{1}{4(1 - z_2)}) f(v_k) = -\frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{2(1 - z_2)})(f(v_{k-1}) + f(v_{k+1})). \tag{4.5}$$

By further simplifying (4.5), we get

$$2z_2 f(v_k) = \mathcal{L}_1 f(v_k). \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, if $z \neq 3/2$, $f|_{G_1}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_1$ with eigenvalue $z_1 = 2z_2$. But since, for an eigenfunction f, the values at u_k are determined by the eigenvalue and the functions value at v_k and v_{k+1}, we can assume $z_1 = 1 - \cos(\theta)$.

In addition, both $\sin(k\theta)$ and $\cos(k\theta)$ are both eigenfunctions themselves on the line graph with eigenvalues of the same form. It is easy to see the f_1 and f_2 above are eigenfunctions with eigenvalue z as prescribed, and that this technique does not work for z outside of that range. Further, since $f_1(v_0) = -f_1(v_m) = 1$ and $f_2(v_0) = f_2(v_2) = 1$, any value at the v_0 and v_2 points can be achieved by a linear combination of these two function.

It is easy to check that the functions $\{g_j\}_{j=0}^m$ are linearly independent and are 3/2-eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{L}_2$ (notice that these function are eigenfunctions on the whole of G_2, not just away from boundary).

To prove that these are all such eigenfunctions, possibly with boundary, we proceed by induction on m. It is clearly true for $m = 1$. Define the subgraph of G_2, $G_2^n = \{v_k \text{ or } u_k \in G_2 \ : \ k \leq n\}$. If f is an 3/2 eigenfunction of G_2, then $f \pm f(u_m)g_m \pm f(v_m)g_0$, where the $\pm$ is determined by the parity of m, is zero away from the vertices of G_2^{m-1}, and thus when restricted is a 3/2-eigenfunction with boundary of G_2^{m-1}, and thus must be in the span of $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{m-1}$ by our induction hypothesis. □

Lemma 4.2. *For a $3N$-gasket, the spectrum of Δ_1, the Laplacian of the first level approximating graph is*

$$\sigma(\Delta_1) = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \bigcup \left\{\sin^2\left(\frac{j\pi}{3N}\right) \ : \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, 3N-1\right\}. \tag{4.7}$$

where the multiplicity of $3/2$ is $3N$ and where the multiplicity of the other eigenvalues is given by their multiplicity in (4.7).

Proof. Using terminology from the proof of lemma 4.1, the first level approximating graph of a $3N$-gasket can be thought of as G_2 for $m = 3N$ and the identification of boundary points $v_0 = v_{3N}$. It is straight forward to check that $f(v_k) = e^{i\theta k}$ is still an eigenfunction of G_1 with the aforementioned identification, and that it satisfies the eigenvalue problem at $v_0 = v_{3N}$. However, since $f(v_0) = f(v_{3N})$, we have that $\theta = \frac{2j\pi}{3N}$, $j = 1, \ldots, 3N$. Eigenfunctions can be extended to all of G_2 in the same fashion as without the identification, so by (4.6) applied with $\theta = \frac{2j\pi}{3N}$, we get eigenvalues of the form (4.7). Note that every eigenfunction on G_1, $z_1 \neq 3/2$, can be extended to G_2 with eigenvalue $(1 - \cos\theta)/2 = \sin^2(\theta/2)$.

The other $3N$ eigenfunctions all have eigenvalue $3/2$. The $3/2$-eigenspace is span by, for example $g_j - cg_1$, for $j = 0, 2, 3, \ldots, 3N$ where $c \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is chosen so the values at v_0 and v_1 match. It will be important later to note that all but three of these functions have Dirichlet boundary conditions, that is, they take value 0 everywhere on V_0. □

This linear sawtooth eigenbasis (4.1) and (4.2) will be incredibly useful in computing our spectral decimation function $R(z)$. As it will simplify some calculations later on, we take as shorthand

$$P = f_1(v_1) + f_1(u_1) = -(f_1(v_{m-1}) + f_1(u_m)) \tag{4.8}$$

$$Q = f_2(v_1) + f_2(u_1) = f_2(v_{m-1}) + f_2(u_m). \tag{4.9}$$

and we will denote

$$r = \frac{Q - P}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad l = \frac{Q + P}{2}. \tag{4.10}$$

That is to say that if f is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_2$ with boundary values $f(v_0) = a$ and $f(v_m) = b$,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 f(v_0) = a - \frac{al + br}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_2 f(v_m) = b - \frac{ar + bl}{2}.$$

We wish to find expressions for P and Q (and hence l and r) in terms of our eigenfunctions. Accordingly, $\mathcal{L}_2 f_1(v_m) = z f_1(v_m) = f_1(v_m) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + f_1(v_1))$ in the symmetric case and $\mathcal{L}_2 f_2(v_m) = z f_2(v_m) = f_2(v_m) - \frac{1}{2}(f_2(v_1) - 1)$ in the skew-symmetric case. These expressions give us

$$\begin{aligned} P = f_1(v_1) + f_1(v_m) &= f_1(v_1) + \frac{1}{2(1-z)}(1 + f_1(v_1)) \\ &= \frac{(3 - 2z) f(v_1) + 1}{2(1-z)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$Q = -(f_2(v_1) + f_2(v_m)) = -(f_2(v_1) - \frac{1}{2(1-z)}(-1 + f(v_1))) \tag{4.12}$$



FIGURE 4.2. The function h.

$$= -\frac{(3-2z)f_2(v_1)-1}{2(1-z)}.$$

4.1. **Computation of $R(z)$.** Having an eigenbasis and a set of eigenvalues, we now wish to find the spectral decimation function on the $3N$-Gasket, which we will call $R(z)$. Let g be an arbitrary eigenfunction of Δ_{n+1} on the sawtooth graph with eigenvalue z, and shall assume a priori that $g|_{V_n}$ has eigenvalue $R(z)$ (which will turn out to be expressed as a rational function of z). As we wish to look at the restriction to V_n. We consider two adjacent n-level cells isomorphic to V_1 cells which intersect at a point which we will call x_{3N}, assign it the eigenfunction the value $g(x_{3N}) = A$ at this vertex. Moving counterclockwise, we sequentially call the other two points in V_0 x_{4N} and x_{5N} and assign the value B to each. We can assume this symmetry because we will not be checking the the eigenvalue condition at x_{4N} and x_{5N}, and thus can replace the values of g there with there averages.

We label the vertices in V_1 of degree 4, $x_0, ...x_{3N-1}$, beginning at the vertex immediately counterclockwise from x_{3N} and continuing counterclockwise. Similarly, label the degree 2 points $x_{3N}, x_{3N+1}, \ldots, x_{6N-1}$. By symmetry we can assume that g is symmetric under exchanging the two n-cells adjacent to x_{3N}.

To simplify things, we consider a function $h \in \ell(V_1)$ to locally build g out of, by defining $h(x_{3N}) = 1$ and $h(x_{4N}) = h(x_{5N}) = 0$ and which is extended to the rest of V_1 by the relation $\Delta_1 h(x_j) = zh(x_j)$ when $j \neq 3N, 4N, 5N$. Further we can assume h is symmetric such that $h(x_0) = h(x_{3N-1}) = a$, the vertices adjacent to x_{4N} and x_{5N} have value $h(x_{N-1}) = h(x_{2N}) = b$, and the last two vertices adjacent to x_{4N} and x_{5N} $h(x_N) = h(x_{2N-1}) = c$. The values of g are shown in figure 4.2.

With this scheme we get

$$\Delta_1 h(x_0) = za = a - \frac{1}{4}(1 + a + h(x_1) + h(x_{3N+1})) = a - \frac{1}{4}(1 + a + ar + bl)$$
$$\Delta_1 h(x_{n-1}) = zb = b - \frac{1}{4}(0 + c + h(x_{N-2}) + h(x_{4N-1})) = b - \frac{1}{4}(c + br + al)$$
$$\Delta_{n+1} h(x_N) = zc = c - \frac{1}{4}(0 + b + h(x_{N+1}) + h(x_{4N+1})) = c - \frac{1}{4}(b + cr + cl).$$

Simplifying

$$4(1-z)a = 1 + a + ar + bl \tag{4.13}$$

$$4(1-z)b = c + br + al$$
$$4(1-z)c = b + cr + cl.$$

Returning our focus to the four vertices adjacent to x in V_n, we see that $\Delta_n g|_{V_n}(x) = R(z)A = A - \frac{1}{4}(4B)$.On the other hand, taking linear combinations of rotations of h, we get the value $aA + (b+c)B$ at vertices adjacent to x_{3N} in V_{n+1}. Thus $zA = A - (aA + (b+c)B)$. Combining this with $(1 - R(z))A = B$, we get $zA = A - A(a + (b + c)(1 - R(z)))$ which yields

$$R(z) = \frac{a+b+c+z-1}{b+c} = 1 + \frac{a+z-1}{b+c} \tag{4.14}$$

We now wish to put $R(z)$ entirely in terms of z (suppressing the $n+1$ subscript). Thus, we add the three equations in 4.13 and solving for $a+b+c$, getting

$$a+b+c = \frac{1}{4(1-z)-1-r-l} = \frac{1}{3-4z-r-l}.$$

To calculate $b+c$ in the denominator, we combine equations (4.13) to get

$$(4(1-z)-1-r)(b+c) = (a+c)l \tag{4.15}$$
$$(4(1-z)-r-l)(a+b) = 1 + (a+c) \tag{4.16}$$
$$(4(1-z)-r)(a+c) = 1 + (a+b) + (b+c)l \tag{4.17}$$

Now, solving these three equations for $b+c$ yields

$$b+c = -\frac{l(-5+l+r+4z)}{(l+r+4z-3)((l-1)l-(r+4z-5)(r+4z-3))} \tag{4.18}$$

and thus

$$R(z) = -\frac{(1+(z-1)(3-l-r-4z))((l-1)l-(r+4z-5)(r+4z-3))}{l(-5+l+r+4z)}. \tag{4.19}$$

With (4.19), (4.11), (4.12), (4.1) and (4.2) we have all of the ingredients we need to construct our function $R(z)$. With much computer aid we found the above to be equivalent to the form in Theorem 2.3.

FIGURE 4.3. Derivation of $R(z)$



4.2. **Computation of $\phi(z)$.** We would like to compare the V_1 approximation to the V_0 approximation, so we treat function g of our Laplacian in vector in block form

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_0 \\ g_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $g_0 = g|_{V_0}$ and $g_1 = g|_{V_1 \setminus V_0}$. Consider Δ_1 as a $6N \times 6N$ matrix with the above block structure written

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

where A is a 3×3 matrix, D is a $(6N-3) \times (6N-3)$ matrix and B and C are appropriately sized rectangular matrices.

If we further assume g is an eigenfunction of Δ_1 away from the boundary V_0, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} g_0 \\ g_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_0' \\ z g_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.20}$$

Focusing on the second equation given to us by (4.20), we see that

$$-(D-z)^{-1} C g_0 = g_1, \tag{4.21}$$

Recalling that $S(z) = (A-z) - B(D-z)^{-1}C = \phi(z)(\Delta_n - R(z))$ is the Schur complement of the matrix $\Delta_1 - z$, and $S_{1\ 1}(z)$ is the first entry in the first row of this Schur complement. We compute that

$$R(z) \;\; = \;\; 1 - \frac{S_{1\ 1}(z)}{\phi(z)}.$$

Now, to find $\phi(z)$ we must first find $S_{1,1}(z)$. Accordingly, we multiply the vector $u_0 = (1,0,0)^T$ by $S(z)$, and use (4.21) and the fact that A is a 3×3 identity matrix to get

$$S_{1\ 1}(z) = (1-z) + (Bu_0)_1$$

The rows of B correspond to boundary points,

$$B_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -1/2 & \text{if } v_i \sim v_{j+3} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the first entry of Bu_0 is equal to $-1/2$ times the sum of the value of u_1 at each vertex adjacent to the boundary point with value 1. Since these boundary conditions correspond directly to the eigenbasis we picked for our fractal when calculating $R(z)$, the boundary point in question is only adjacent to two other vertices, both of which have value a, using the notation from Subsection 4.3, $Bu_0 = -a$.

Substituting this value into our equality for $S_{1,1}(z)$ we get $S_{1,1}(z) = 1 - z - a$. Thus, using this fact and (4.14) we have

$$R(z) = 1 - \frac{1-z-a}{\phi(z)} = 1 + \frac{a+z-1}{b+c}.$$

In particular,

$$\phi(z) = b + c,$$

which we had solved for in terms of l and r earlier. Using a computer algebra system, we obtained the simplified version in Theorem 2.3.

4.3. **Poles of $R(z)$ and zeros of $\phi(z)$.** We prove that the singularities of $R(z)$ are of the form 2.7. First, note that T_N and U_n have the N unique roots

$$T_N^{-1}(0) = \left\{\cos\left(\frac{(m-\pi/2}{N}\right)\right\}_{m=1}^{N} \quad \text{and} \quad U_N^{-1}(0) = \left\{\cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{N+1}\right)\right\}_{m=1}^{N}.$$

Further, since $\frac{d}{dx}T_N(x) = NU_{N-1}(x)$, the extrema of $T_N(x)$ must be zeros of U_{N-1} and the value at these points must be ± 1, see, for example [57].

Consider the case when N is even. Then it is clear from (2.5) and the previous discussion that the singularities of $R(z)$ must be contained in the $N/2$ zeros of $T_N(\sqrt{z})$,

$$\zeta_m = \cos^2\left(\frac{(2m-1)\pi}{2N}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\cos\left(\frac{(2m-1)\pi}{N}\right)\right).$$

We have

$$T_N(1-2\zeta_m) = T_N(-\cos(\tfrac{(2m-1)\pi}{N})) = T_N(\cos(\tfrac{(2m-1)\pi}{N})) \tag{4.22}$$

$$U_{N-1}(1-2\zeta_m) = U_{N-1}(-\cos(\tfrac{(2m-1)\pi}{N})) = -U_{N-1}(\cos(\tfrac{(2m-1)\pi}{N})) \tag{4.23}$$

where negatives are determined in the last equalities depending on the parity of the degree of the Chebyshev polynomial. But the form of the extrema of $T_N(x)$ shows that (4.22) is always equal to ± 1 and the form of the roots of U_N indicates (4.23) is always zero. Thus,

$$2(T_N(1-2\zeta_m) + U_{N-1}(1-2\zeta_m)) + 1 = 2(\pm 1 + 0) + 1 \neq 0$$

and $U_{N-1}(\zeta_m) = U_{N-1}(\cos(\frac{(m-\frac{1}{2})\theta}{N})) \neq 0$ as the roots of $U_{N-1}(x)$ are exactly of the form $\cos(\frac{m\pi}{N})$. Therefore, the numerator of $R(z)$ in the case where N is even is never zero so no singularity in this case is removable. The proof is similar for N odd.

5. Gaps in the spectrum

In this section we follow [26] to establish properties of the gaps in the spectrum of the Laplacian on a $3N$-gasket in the sense of [69]. We say that an infinite non-negative increasing sequence $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has gaps, if $\limsup \alpha_{k+1}/\alpha_k > 1$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $z_{max} = \max\{z \ : \ R(z) = z \text{ or } R(z) = 0\}$ and $I_0 = [0, z_{max}]$. If there is a pole of R in I_0, then there are gaps in the spectrum of Δ.*

Proof. The Julia set of $R(z)$, call it $\mathcal{J}$, is contained in in $R^{-n}(I_0)$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Because there is a pole in I_0, $R^{-n}(I_0) \subsetneq R^{-n+1}(I_0)$, and is a finite set of intervals. Since $\mathcal{J} \neq I_0$, it must be completely disconnected.

This implies that for every $\varepsilon \geq 0$, there is a sub interval of $[0, \varepsilon]$ which is not contained in $\mathcal{J}$. Which implies that there are gaps in the spectrum of $\mathcal{J}$ by an argument similar to the un-numbered Theorem in Section 3 of [26]. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. From Theorem 2.3, the poles of $R(z)$ are calculated to be real and between 0 and 1. Also, we see that there are zeros of $R(z)$ at the point, includes 1 when N is even and $\cos^2(\pi/2N)$ if N is odd. Either way there is a zero of $R(z)$ greater than the largest pole. Thus by Theorem 5.1 there are gaps in the spectrum. □



FIGURE 5.1. $R(x)$ for $N = 2$ (left) and $N = 4$ (right).

6. Spectral decimation of $3N$-gaskets

6.1. **The exceptional set.** To compute the multiplicities of eigenvalues of the approximating graph, we must know the exceptional set $E(\Delta_0, \Delta) = \sigma(D) \cup \{z : \phi(z) = 0\}$. Here D is the matrix from the block representation of

$$\Delta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\sigma(D)$, the eigenvalues of D, correspond to the solutions to the eigenvalue problem on V_1 with Dirichlet boundary conditions at V_0. That is, if $\lambda \in \sigma(D)$, then there is $f \in \ell(V_1)$ such that $f|_{V_0} = 0$ and $\Delta_1 f(x) = \lambda f(x)$ for $x \in V_1 \setminus V_0$. Written as a block matrix,

$$f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$

where $Dg = \lambda g$, because

$$\Delta_1 f = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Bg \\ Dg \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *The exceptional set*

$$E(\Delta_0, \Delta) = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \left\{\cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right) : m = 1, ..., N-1\right\}, \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \cup \{z : 2T_N(1-2z) + 2U_{N-1}(1-2z) + 1 = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} \{z : T_N(\sqrt{z}) - 2(z-1)\sqrt{z}U_{N-1}(\sqrt{z}) = 0\} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \{z : U_{N-1}(\sqrt{z}) - 2\sqrt{z}T_N(\sqrt{z}) = 0\} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In Theorem 2.3, it is shown that

$$\phi^{-1}(0) = \begin{cases} \cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right), \ m = 1, 3, \ldots, N-1 & \text{if } N \text{ is even, and} \\ \cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right), \ m = 2, 4, \ldots, N-1 & \text{if } N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

What is left is to show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *The spectrum of D is the union of the set of zeros of $R(z)$, the set of poles of $\phi(z)$, and $\frac{3}{2}$. Specifically, if N is even*

$$\sigma(D) = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right) : m = 2, 4, ..., N\right\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$$

and if N is odd,

$$\sigma(D) = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\cos^2\left(\frac{m\pi}{2N}\right) : m = 1, 3, ..., N\right\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$$

Here the dimension of the eigenspace of the eigenvalues $3/2$ is $3N-3$, eigenvalues in $\mathcal{A}$ is 2, and the multiplicity of the rest is counted by multiplicity of occurrences in the set.

First we classify the eigenvalues of D which are also Eigenvalues of Δ_1, which we refer to as Dirichlet-Neumann eigenvalues.

Lemma 6.3. *The set of Dirichlet-Neumann spectrum of Δ_1 is*

$$\sigma(D) \cap \sigma(\Delta_1) = \left\{\frac{3}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\cos^2(m\pi/N) : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < m < N/2\right\}.$$

Here $3/2$ has multiplicity $3N-3$, and the rest have multiplicity according to their number or representations in $\{\cos^2(m\pi/N) : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < m < N/2\}$.

Proof. In the proof of lemma 4.2, we have already shown that $3/2$ is in $\sigma(D)$, and has multiplicity at least $3N-3$. By a similar argument as that employed at the end of the proof of lemma 4.1, it is not difficult to prove that the multiplicity is exactly $3N-3$.

As for $\cos^2(m\pi/N), m = 1, 2, \ldots, N/2$, when N is even, and $\cos^2((m-1/2)\pi/N)$. These are roots of T_N and U_N respectively, and are thus zeros of $R(z)$, which means that they are in $R^{-1}(\sigma(\Delta_0))$ as well as poles of $\phi(z)$.

An element in $\sigma(\Delta_1)$ is either $3/2$ of of the form

$$\frac{1-\cos\left(\frac{2j\pi}{3N}\right)}{2} = \sin^2\left(\frac{j\pi}{3N}\right) = \cos^2\left(\frac{j\pi}{3N} - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \cos^2\left(\frac{(2j-3N)\pi}{6N}\right).$$

Thus, either

$$m = \frac{2j-3N}{6} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is even, or} \quad m = \frac{2j-3(N+1)}{6}, \quad \text{if } N \text{ is odd,}$$

By choosing appropriate j divisible by 3 and between 1 and $3N$ we can find any m between 1 and $N/2$. Thus all poles of $R(z)$ are in $\sigma(\Delta_1)$. Further, if $z_0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$ and $z_0 \neq 3/2$ then we have shown that the corresponding eigenfunction f_0 is an extension of the eigenfunction from the circle. □

Lemma 6.4. *The set $\sigma(D)\backslash\sigma(\Delta_1)$ consists of the N elements of $\mathcal{A}$, each being an eigenvalue with multiplicity 2, and the $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1$ elements of $\mathcal{B}$, each with multiplicity 1.*

Proof. Considering Δ_1 as a block matrix as before

$$(\Delta_1 - z)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S^{-1}(z)g \\ -(D-z)^{-1}CS^{-1}(z)g \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if $z_0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$ then $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z)$ is well defined. We prove that for $z_0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$ the multiplicity of z_0 as an eigenvalue of D is equal to the the dimension of the nullspace $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z)$.

Since $S(z_0)$ exists and is invertable for $z_0 \notin \sigma(D)$, the statement is vacuously true there. On the other hand, if $z_0 \in \sigma(D)$ then there is $f \in \ell(V_0 \setminus V_1)$ such that

$$\Delta_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Bf \\ z_0 f \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{thus} \quad (\Delta_1 - z_0) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Bf \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And in particular $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z)(Bf) = 0$. Thus the matrix B is a linear mapping from the z_0-eigenspace of D to the nullspace of $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z))$.

Conversely, assuming $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z)g = 0$ for some $g \in \ell(V_0)$ with $g \neq 0$, because $z_0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$ $(\Delta_1 - z_0)^{-1}$ is well defined,

$$\lim_{z\to z_0} \begin{bmatrix} S^{-1}(z)g \\ -(D-z)^{-1}CS^{-1}(z)g \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{z\to z_0} (\Delta_1 - z)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = (\Delta_1 - z_0)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $f \in \ell(V_1 \setminus V_0)$ with $f \neq 0$ because $\Delta_1 - z_0$ is invertible at $z_0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$. Thus, by the block representation we have non-zero f such that $(D-z)f = 0$. Thus the linear map $g \mapsto \lim_{z\to z_0} -(D-z)^{-1}CS^{-1}(z)g$ is a well defined map from the nullspace of $\lim_{z\to z_0} S^{-1}(z)$ to the z_0-eigenspace of D, and is clearly the inverse of the map above, which proves that the two spaces have the same dimension.

Additionally, for $z \notin \sigma(D) \cup \sigma(\Delta_1)$, $S^{-1}(z)$ can be written

$$\frac{1}{\phi(z)} (\Delta_0 - R(z))^{-1} = \frac{-1}{\phi(z)R(z)(3-2R(z))} \begin{bmatrix} 1-2R(z) & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1-2R(z) & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1-2R(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Det}(S^{-1}(z)) = -4(\phi(z))^{-3}(3-2R(z))^{-2}(R(z))^{-1},$$

So the above is invertible unless $z_0 \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$ or $\phi(z)$ has a pole at z_0.

Notice that for $z_0 \notin \sigma(\Delta_1)$ and $R(z) \in \sigma(\Delta_0) = \{0, 3/2\}$, then because S^{-1} has a removable singularity, $\phi(z)$ must have a pole at z_0. Conversely, if $\phi(z)$ has a pole at z_0, then either $z \in \sigma(\Delta_0)$ or $S^{-1}(z_0) = 0$.

If $v = [1,1,1]^T$ and $u \in \operatorname{span} v^\perp$, i.e. v is invariant under all symmetries of the $3N$-gasket. It is simple to compute

$$S^{-1}(z_0)v = \frac{-v}{\phi(z)R(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1}(z_0)u = \frac{u}{\phi(z)(3-2R(z))}.$$

Now assume $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ then $R(z_0) = 0$ and $\phi(z)$ has a pole at z_0 and $\lim_{z\to z_0} R(z)\phi(z) \neq 0$ and is well defined. Thus $S^{-1}(z_0)u = 0$ and $S^{-1}(z)v \neq 0$, and so the nullspace of $S^{-1}(z_0) = 2$. Thus, z_0 corresponds to an eigenspace of dimension 2, span by anti-symmetric eigenfunctions with respect to reflection about a given axis. A geometric argument shows that there should be N such eigenfunctions for a given axis of symmetry (so $2N$ linearly independent functions in total).

On the other hand, for $z_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, then both $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ have a pole at z_0. Thus $S^{-1}(z_0)v = 0$, so z_0 corresponds to an eigenfunction which is invariant under all symmetries. A geometric argument shows that there should be $(N+2)/2$ such eigenfunctions if N is even and $(N+1)/2$ if N is odd.

Adding up the multiplicities we have found all of the $3N-3$ eigenvalues of D. $\square$

6.2. **Multiplicities.** In this section we recall from [7] and prove new results about spectral decimation which will allow us to determine the eigenvalues with multiplicities of Δ_n. Using these formulas we will be able to determine the spectrum of the Laplacian on the limiting fractal.

We define $\text{mult}_M(z)$ to be the multiplicity of an eigenvalue z of the matrix M, and $\dim_M$ to be the dimension of a square matrix M. Additionally $\text{mult}_n(z) = \text{mult}_{\Delta_n}(z)$ and $\dim_n = \dim_{\Delta_n}$. Using previous work in [7], we have the following proposition, letting m denote the number of contractions defining an iterated function system (i.e. for a $3N$-gasket, $m = 3N$):

Proposition 6.5. [7]

(1) If $z \notin E(M_0, M)$, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = \text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)), \tag{6.2}$$

and every corresponding eigenfunction at depth n is an extension of an eigenfunction at depth $n-1$.

(2) If $z \notin \sigma(D)$, $\phi(z) = 0$ and $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = \dim_{n-1}, \tag{6.3}$$

and every corresponding eigenfunction at depth n is localized.

(3) If $z \in \sigma(D)$, both $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ have poles at z, $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z, and $\frac{d}{dz}R(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) - \dim_{n-1} + \text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)), \tag{6.4}$$

and every corresponding eigenfunction at depth n vanishes on V_{n-1}.

(4) If $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, and $\phi(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) + \text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)). \tag{6.5}$$

In this case $m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z)$ linearly independent eigenfunctions are localized, and $\text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z))$ more linearly independent eigenfunctions are extensions of corresponding eigenfunction at depth $n-1$.

(5) If $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, and $\phi(z) = 0$, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) + \text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)) + \dim_{n-1} \tag{6.6}$$

provided $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z. In this case there are $m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) + \dim_{n-1}$ localized and $\text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z))$ non-localized corresponding eigenfunctions at depth n.

(6) If $z \in \sigma(D)$, both $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ have poles at z, $R(z)$ has a removable singularity at z, and $\frac{d}{dz}R(z) = 0$, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = \text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)), \tag{6.7}$$

provided there are no corresponding eigenfunctions at depth n that vanish on V_{n-1}. In general we have

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) - \dim_{n-1} + 2\text{mult}_{n-1}(R(z)). \tag{6.8}$$

(7) If $z \notin \sigma(D)$, $\phi(z) = 0$ and $R(z)$ has a pole z, then $\text{mult}_n(z) = 0$ and z is not an eigenvalue.

(8) If $z \in \sigma(D)$, but $\phi(z)$ and $\phi(z)R(z)$ do not have poles at z, $\phi(z) = 0$, and $R(z)$ has a pole z, then

$$\text{mult}_n(z) = m^{n-1}\text{mult}_D(z) \tag{6.9}$$

and every corresponding eigenfunction at depth n vanishes on V_{n-1}.

In computing the spectrum of the Laplacian of the $3N$ gasket, we shall need the following additional case, which is not covered by the result above.

Theorem 6.6. *Say $z_0 \in \mathcal{A}$, then $R(z_0) = 0$, $\phi(z)$ has a pole at z_0 but $\phi(z)R(z) \neq 0$ has a removable singularity, then*

$$\text{mult}_n(z_0) = \frac{1-(3N)^{n-1}}{1-3N}$$

for $n > 2$, and $\text{mult}_n(z_0) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. In Theorem 3.3 of [7] it is shown that

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta_n - z)^{-1} &= (D-z)^{-1}P_n' \\ &\quad + (P_{n-1} - (D-z)^{-1}C)(\phi(z)\Delta_{n-1} - \phi(z)R(z))^{-1}(P_{n-1} - B(D-z)^{-1}P_n')\end{aligned}$$

Where P_{n-1} the orthogonal projection on $\ell(V_n)$ to the set of functions supported on V_{n-1}, and $P_n' = I_n - P_{n-1}$ is the projection on the orthogonal complement i.e. functions supported away from V_{n-1}. Using the spectral decomposition

$$\Delta_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta_n)} \lambda P_{n,\lambda}$$

where $P_{n,\lambda}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the λ-eigenspace of Δ_n, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\Delta_n)} \frac{1}{\lambda - z} P_{n,\lambda} &= (D-z)^{-1}P_n' \\ + \sum_{\lambda' \in \sigma(\Delta_{n-1})} &(P_{n-1} - (D-z)^{-1}C)\frac{1}{\phi(z)(\lambda' - R(z))}P_{n-1,\lambda'}(P_{n-1} - B(D-z)^{-1}P_n')\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $(z_0 - z)$ and taking the limit $z \to z_0$, the left hand side of the above becomes P_{n,z_0} (the projection will be zero when z_0 is not an eigenvalue).

The first term on the right hand side becomes

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(D)} \frac{z_0 - z}{\lambda - z} P_{D,\lambda}P_n' = P_{D,z_0},$$

where $P_{D,\lambda}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the λ-eigenspace of D. Again not that the projection P_{D,z_0} may be zero. The second term vanishes because

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{z_0 - z}{\phi(z)\lambda' - \phi(z)R(z)} = 0,$$

as $\phi_0(z) := \phi(z)/(z_0 - z)$ has a pole at z_0 of order 2. Putting this together, $P_{n,z_0} = P_{D,z_0}$. In other words, if f is an eigenfunction of z_0, $f|_{V_{n-1}} \equiv 0$. We establish in the end of Section 4 that eigenvectors of z_0 for Δ_1 are such that if u is a z_0-eigenfunction of D then the values on V_0 of $\Delta_1 u$ are orthogonal to constant vectors, i.e. $\sum_{x \in V_0} \Delta_1 u(x) = 0$. Further, for any vector in $\mathbb{R}^3$ orthogonal to constants, there is a z_0-eigenfunction of D such that $\Delta_1 u$ has that vector as boundary values.

In other words, we consider the graph G with vertex set $\left\{F_w(V_1) \mid w \in \{1,2,\ldots,3N\}^{n-1}\right\}$, which are the $n-1$ cells of the V_n, and edge relation is given by the non-empty intersections. A z_0-eigenfunction f induces a function J on the edges of G, by $J(F_w(V_1), F_v(V_1)) = -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{y\sim x,\ y\in F_w(V_1)} f(y)$where x is the unique element in the intersection of $F_w(V_1)$ and $F_v(V_1)$. Further J is such that $J(\alpha,\beta) = -J(\beta,\alpha)$ and $\sum_{\beta\sim\alpha} J(\alpha,\beta) = 0$ (in this sum, α is fixed). Additionally, any function J with these two properties can be obtained in this way. The dimension of the linear space spanned by such functions is the 1st Betti number of the graph, which is easily computed to be $1 + 3N + (3N)^2 + \ldots + (3N)^{n-2} = \dfrac{1-(3N)^{n-1}}{1-3N}$ □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The dimension of Δ_n is the same as the number of vertices in V_n which can be calculated by noticing that there are $3N$ critical points — points where $F_i(K)$ overlaps with $F_j(K)$ where $j \neq i$. Thus the number of vertices satisfies the recurrence relation $|V_{n+1}| = 3N|V_n| - 3N$ with $|V_0| = 3$. Note that by results from 6.5(7), that every zero of $\phi(z)$ is $3/2$ or not an eigenvalue (has multiplicity 0).

We calculate the multiplicities (and thus the spectrum) of the eigenvalues below.

(i) is found using Proposition 6.5(5). Clearly $\phi(3/2) = 0$ and $3/2$ is not a singularity of $R(z)$, $\phi(3/2)\,R(3/2) = 0$. Thus, 6.5(5) applies and gives us (i), noticing that $R(3/2)$ is not an eigenvalue of Δ_{n-1} and thus.

(ii) Let $R^m(z) = \sin^2(k\pi/(3N))$. If $3 \nmid k$, $R^m(z) \notin E(\Delta_0, \Delta)$ and thus $R^{m+1}(z) \in \sigma(\Delta_0)$. We can see that know $R^{m+1}(z) \neq 0$, so $R^{m-1}(z) = \frac{3}{2}$. If $3 \mid k$, $R^m(z) \in \sigma(D)$, $R^{m+1}(z) = 0$, and because $R^m(z) \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$ and it is not $3/2$, $\phi(z) \neq 0$, we apply Proposition 6.5(4) . Note that If $R(z) = 3/2$ then either $z\sigma(D)$ or $z \in \sigma(\Delta_1)$ then, from Proposition 6.5(3), we know that if $z \in \sigma(D)$, then z is not an eigenvalue. Also note, that $z \in \sigma(D)$ implies $z \in \mathcal{B}$, because all other element of $\sigma(D)$ are $3/2$ or zeros of $R(z)$.

(iii) If $R^m(z) \in A$, then we apply Theorem 6.6.

□

References

[1] Skye Aaron, Zach Conn, Robert S. Strichartz, and Hui Yu. Hodge-de Rham theory on fractal graphs and fractals. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 13(2):903–928, 2014.

[2] E. Akkermans, G. V. Dunne, and A. Teplyaev. Physical consequences of complex dimensions of fractals. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 88:40007, November 2009.

[3] E. Akkermans, G. V. Dunne, and A. Teplyaev. Thermodynamics of photons on fractals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:230407, Dec 2010.

[4] Eric Akkermans, Olivier Benichou, Gerald V. Dunne, Alexander Teplyaev, and Raphael Voituriez. Spatial log-periodic oscillations of first-passage observables in fractals. *Phys. Rev. E*, 86:061125, Dec 2012.

[5] S. Alexander. Some properties of the spectrum of the sierpinski gasket in a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. B*, 29:5504–5508, May 1984.

[6] J. Ambjørn, R. Loll, J. L. Nielsen, and J. Rolf. Euclidean and Lorentzian quantum gravity—lessons from two dimensions. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 10(2-3):177–195, 1999. Superstrings, M, F, S*ots* theory.

[7] N. Bajorin, T. Chen, A. Dagan, C. Emmons, M. Hussein, M. Khalil, P. Mody, B. Steinhurst, and A. Teplyaev. Vibration modes of $3n$-gaskets and other fractals. *J. Phys. A*, 41(1):015101, 21, 2008.

[8] N. Bajorin, T. Chen, A. Dagan, C. Emmons, M. Hussein, M. Khalil, P. Mody, B. Steinhurst, and A. Teplyaev. Vibration spectra of finitely ramified, symmetric fractals. *Fractals*, 16(3):243–258, 2008.

[9] J. R. Banavar, L. Kadanoff, and A. M. Pruisken. Energy spectrum for a fractal lattice in a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev., B Condens. Matter*, 31(3):1388–1395, Feb 1985.

[10] M. T. Barlow and D. Nualart. *Lectures on probability theory and statistics*, volume 1690 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Lectures from the 25th Saint-Flour Summer School held July 10–26, 1995, Edited by P. Bernard.

[11] Martin T. Barlow, Richard F. Bass, Takashi Kumagai, and Alexander Teplyaev. Uniqueness of Brownian motion on Sierpiński carpets. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 12(3):655–701, 2010.

[12] Matthew Begue, Daniel J. Kelleher, Aaron Nelson, Hugo Panzo, Ryan Pellico, and Alexander Teplyaev. Random walks on barycentric subdivisions and the Strichartz hexacarpet. *Exp. Math.*, 21(4):402–417, 2012.

[13] J. Bellissard. Renormalization group analysis and quasicrystals. In *Ideas and methods in quantum and statistical physics (Oslo, 1988)*, pages 118–148. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[14] Brighid Boyle, Kristin Cekala, David Ferrone, Neil Rifkin, and Alexander Teplyaev. Electrical resistance of N-gasket fractal networks. *Pacific J. Math.*, 233(1):15–40, 2007.

[15] Dmitri Burago, Yuri Burago, and Sergei Ivanov. *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[16] Zhen-Qing Chen and Masatoshi Fukushima. *Symmetric Markov processes, time change, and boundary theory*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[17] Gregory Derfel, Peter J. Grabner, and Fritz Vogl. The zeta function of the Laplacian on certain fractals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(2):881–897 (electronic), 2008.

[18] Gregory Derfel, Peter J. Grabner, and Fritz Vogl. Laplace operators on fractals and related functional equations. *J. Phys. A*, 45(46):463001, 34, 2012.

[19] Shawn Drenning and Robert S. Strichartz. Spectral decimation on Hambly's homogeneous hierarchical gaskets. *Illinois J. Math.*, 53(3):915–937 (2010), 2009.

[20] Gerald V Dunne. Heat kernels and zeta functions on fractals. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 45(37):374016, 2012.

[21] F. Englert, J.-M. Frère, M. Rooman, and Ph. Spindel. Metric space-time as fixed point of the renormalization group equations on fractal structures. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 280(1):147–180, 1987.

[22] Daniel J. Ford and Benjamin Steinhurst. Vibration spectra of the m-tree fractal. *Fractals-complex Geometry Patterns and Scaling in Nature and Society*, 18, 2010.

[23] M. Fukushima and T. Shima. On a spectral analysis for the Sierpiński gasket. *Potential Anal.*, 1(1):1–35, 1992.

[24] Masatoshi Fukushima, Yoichi Oshima, and Masayoshi Takeda. *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, volume 19 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, extended edition, 2011.

[25] B. M. Hambly, V. Metz, and A. Teplyaev. Self-similar energies on post-critically finite self-similar fractals. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 74(1):93–112, 2006.

[26] Kathryn E. Hare, Benjamin A. Steinhurst, Alexander Teplyaev, and Denglin Zhou. Disconnected Julia sets and gaps in the spectrum of Laplacians on symmetric finitely ramified fractals. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(3):537–553, 2012.

[27] Kathryn E. Hare and Denglin Zhou. Gaps in the ratios of the spectra of Laplacians on fractals. *Fractals*, 17(4):523–535, 2009.

[28] Michael Hinz. 1-forms and polar decomposition on harmonic spaces. *Potential Anal.*, 38(1):261–279, 2013.

[29] Michael Hinz. Magnetic energies and feynman-kac-ito formulas for symmetric markov processes. *arXiv:1409.7743*, 2015.

[30] Michael Hinz, Daniel J. Kelleher, and Alexander Teplyaev. Measures and Dirichlet forms under the Gelfand transform. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 408(Veroyatnost i Statistika. 18):303–322, 329–330, 2012.

[31] Michael Hinz, Daniel J. Kelleher, and Alexander Teplyaev. Metrics and spectral triples for Dirichlet and resistance forms. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2013. 1309.5937, To Appear in Journal of Noncommutative Geometry.

[32] Michael Hinz, Michael Röckner, and Alexander Teplyaev. Vector analysis for Dirichlet forms and quasilinear PDE and SPDE on metric measure spaces. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(12):4373–4406, 2013.

[33] Michael Hinz and Luke G. Rogers. Magnetic fields on resistance spaces. *arXiv:1501.01100*, 2015.

[34] Michael Hinz and Alexander Teplyaev. Dirac and magnetic Schrödinger operators on fractals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265(11):2830–2854, 2013.
[35] Michael Hinz and Alexander Teplyaev. Vector analysis on fractals and applications. In *Fractal geometry and dynamical systems in pure and applied mathematics. II. Fractals in applied mathematics*, volume 601 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 147–163. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
[36] Michael Hinz and Alexander Teplyaev. Local Dirichlet forms, Hodge theory, and the Navier-Stokes equations on topologically one-dimensional fractals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(2):1347–1380, 2015.
[37] Marius Ionescu, Erin P. J. Pearse, Luke G. Rogers, Huo-Jun Ruan, and Robert S. Strichartz. The resolvent kernel for PCF self-similar fractals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(8):4451–4479, 2010.
[38] Marius Ionescu, Luke G. Rogers, and Robert S. Strichartz. Pseudo-differential operators on fractals and other metric measure spaces. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 29(4):1159–1190, 2013.
[39] Marius Ionescu, Luke G. Rogers, and Alexander Teplyaev. Derivations and Dirichlet forms on fractals. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(8):2141–2169, 2012.
[40] Naotaka Kajino. Spectral asymptotics for Laplacians on self-similar sets. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 258(4):1310–1360, 2010.
[41] Naotaka Kajino. Non-regularly varying and non-periodic oscillation of the on-diagonal heat kernels on self-similar fractals. In *Fractal geometry and dynamical systems in pure and applied mathematics. II. Fractals in applied mathematics*, volume 601 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 165–194. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
[42] Naotaka Kajino. On-diagonal oscillation of the heat kernels on post-critically finite self-similar fractals. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 156(1-2):51–74, 2013.
[43] Naotaka Kajino. Log-periodic asymptotic expansion of the spectral partition function for self-similar sets. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 328(3):1341–1370, 2014.
[44] Christopher J. Kauffman, Robert M. Kesler, Amanda G. Parshall, Evelyn A. Stamey, and Benjamin A. Steinhurst. Quantum mechanics on laakso spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 53(4):042102, 2012.
[45] Daniel J. Kelleher, Benjamin A. Steinhurst, and Chuen-Ming M. Wong. From self-similar structures to self-similar groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 22(7):1250056, 16, 2012.
[46] Jun Kigami. Harmonic calculus on p.c.f. self-similar sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 335(2):721–755, 1993.
[47] Jun Kigami. *Analysis on fractals*, volume 143 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
[48] Jun Kigami. Harmonic analysis for resistance forms. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 204(2):399–444, 2003.
[49] Jun Kigami. Resistance forms, quasisymmetric maps and heat kernel estimates. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 216(1015):vi+132, 2012.
[50] Jun Kigami and Michel L. Lapidus. Weyl's problem for the spectral distribution of Laplacians on p.c.f. self-similar fractals. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 158(1):93–125, 1993.
[51] Nishu Lal and Michel L. Lapidus. Hyperfunctions and spectral zeta functions of Laplacians on self-similar fractals. *J. Phys. A*, 45(36):365205, 14, 2012.
[52] Michel L. Lapidus and Helmut Maier. The Riemann hypothesis and inverse spectral problems for fractal strings. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 52(1):15–34, 1995.
[53] Michel L. Lapidus and Machiel van Frankenhuijsen. *Fractal geometry, complex dimensions and zeta functions*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013. Geometry and spectra of fractal strings.
[54] Oliver Lauscher and Martin Reuter. Asymptotic safety in quantum Einstein gravity: nonperturbative renormalizability and fractal spacetime structure. In *Quantum gravity*, pages 293–313. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
[55] Leonid Malozemov and Alexander Teplyaev. Pure point spectrum of the Laplacians on fractal graphs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 129(2):390–405, 1995.
[56] Leonid Malozemov and Alexander Teplyaev. Self-similarity, operators and dynamics. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 6(3):201–218, 2003.
[57] J. C. Mason and D. C. Handscomb. *Chebyshev polynomials*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003.
[58] Kasso A. Okoudjou, Luke G. Rogers, and Robert S. Strichartz. Szegö limit theorems on the Sierpiński gasket. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 16(3):434–447, 2010.

[59] Roberto Peirone. Existence of eigenforms on nicely separated fractals. In *Analysis on graphs and its applications*, volume 77 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 231–241. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
[60] Roberto Peirone. Existence of self-similar energies on finitely ramified fractals. *J. Anal. Math.*, 123:35–94, 2014.
[61] Roberto Peirone. Uniqueness of eigenforms on fractals. *Math. Nachr.*, 287(4):453–471, 2014.
[62] Olaf Post. *Spectral analysis on graph-like spaces*, volume 2039 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
[63] R. Rammal. Spectrum of harmonic excitations on fractals. *J. Physique*, 45(2):191–206, 1984.
[64] R. Rammal and G. Toulouse. Spectrum of the Schrödinger equation on a self-similar structure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 49(16):1194–1197, 1982.
[65] Luke G. Rogers. Estimates for the resolvent kernel of the Laplacian on p.c.f. self-similar fractals and blowups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(3):1633–1685, 2012.
[66] Luke G. Rogers and Robert S. Strichartz. Distribution theory on P.C.F. fractals. *J. Anal. Math.*, 112:137–191, 2010.
[67] Tadashi Shima. On eigenvalue problems for the random walks on the Sierpiński pre-gaskets. *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 8(1):127–141, 1991.
[68] Benjamin A. Steinhurst and Alexander Teplyaev. Existence of a meromorphic extension of spectral zeta functions on fractals. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 103(12):1377–1388, 2013.
[69] Robert S. Strichartz. Laplacians on fractals with spectral gaps have nicer Fourier series. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(2-3):269–274, 2005.
[70] Robert S. Strichartz. *Differential equations on fractals, A tutorial*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
[71] Robert S. Strichartz and Alexander Teplyaev. Spectral analysis on infinite Sierpiński fractafolds. *J. Anal. Math.*, 116:255–297, 2012.
[72] Alexander Teplyaev. Spectral analysis on infinite Sierpiński gaskets. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 159(2):537–567, 1998.
[73] Alexander Teplyaev. Spectral zeta functions of fractals and the complex dynamics of polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(9):4339–4358 (electronic), 2007.
[74] Alexander Teplyaev. Harmonic coordinates on fractals with finitely ramified cell structure. *Canad. J. Math.*, 60(2):457–480, 2008.
[75] Jeremy T. Tyson and Jang-Mei Wu. Characterizations of snowflake metric spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 30(2):313–336, 2005.
[76] Jeremy T. Tyson and Jang-Mei Wu. Quasiconformal dimensions of self-similar fractals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 22(1):205–258, 2006.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA
E-mail address: dkellehe@purdue.edu
URL: http://www.math.purdue.edu/~dkellehe/

E-mail address: Nikhaar.Gupta@uconn.edu

E-mail address: mmargeno42@gmail.com

E-mail address: jmarsh2@nd.edu

E-mail address: wgoakley@math.ucla.edu

E-mail address: teplyaev@math.uconn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA
URL: http://www.math.uconn.edu/~teplyaev/